EDUCATION FOR ALL: ADDRESSING THE DIGITAL DIVIDE AND SOCIOECONOMIC DISPARITIES IN MODERN SCHOOLS

By

PUJA TRIPATHI

Amrapali University, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, India.

https://doi.org/10.26634/jsch.20.2.21211 Date Revised: 08/10/2024

Date Received: 27/09/2024

Date Accepted: 29/10/2024

ABSTRACT

This study examines the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities that hinder equitable access to quality education in modern schools. This study highlights how unequal access to technology and the internet disproportionately affects students from low-income families, exacerbating existing educational inequalities. This paper defines the digital divide in a historical context, supported by statistics demonstrating significant gaps in technology access among various socioeconomic groups. It further analyzes the implications of income inequality on educational opportunities and academic performance. The COVID-19 pandemic has intensified these disparities, forcing many students into remote learning environments without adequate resources. Through a mixed-methods approach, this study combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to explore students' experiences and engagement levels in remote learning, revealing that 70% of low-income students with consistent access to technology scored, on average, 15% higher in standardized assessments, underscoring the critical role of technology in academic achievement. This study concludes with actionable recommendations for schools and policymakers, including increased funding for low-income schools, the development of digital literacy training programs, and fostering community partnerships.

Keywords: Digital Divide, Socioeconomic Disparities, Technology Access, Educational Equity, Academic Performance, Digital Literacy, Remote Learning, Community Engagement, Parental Involvement, Learning Outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

In the current educational landscape, the concept of the digital divide has become increasingly important, especially as technology plays a central role in defining access to learning opportunities. The digital divide refers to the gap between individuals who have access to essential digital resources, such as computers and reliable internet, and those who do not. This divide reflects not only disparities in physical resources but also



differences in digital literacy and the ability to fully participate in modern educational methods. Inadequate access to technology can prevent students from engaging effectively in academic activities, ultimately impacting their learning outcomes and future opportunities. Coupled with socioeconomic disparities, the digital divide further exacerbates the challenges faced by students from low-income families. Socioeconomic status (SES), typically determined by factors such as household income, parental education, and occupation, directly influences a student's access to learning resources. For families with limited financial means, the costs of devices and stable internet connectivity can be prohibitive. This socioeconomic barrier intensifies the effects of the digital divide, leaving

low-income students under-resourced and at greater risk of falling behind their more affluent peers in academic achievement.

The combined effect of the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities creates a dual-layered barrier that restricts equitable access to education. These inequalities not only widen existing educational gaps but also contribute to long-term socioeconomic consequences for individuals and communities. As schools increasingly adopt digital learning tools, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, ensuring equal access to technology for all students is essential for promoting educational equity. Addressing these issues holistically forms the foundation of this study, which aims to examine the multifaceted effects of these combined factors on educational access, performance, and engagement among students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds (Chytrý et al., 2022).

Implications for Educational Outcomes and the COVID-19 Context

The interplay between socioeconomic status and digital access significantly affects students' academic performance and engagement. When low-income families cannot afford essential technology or stable internet access, students face substantial barriers to meaningful participation in educational activities. This lack of access results in lower engagement and academic achievement, particularly in settings where digital tools and resources are integral to learning. The connection between digital access and educational outcomes is therefore not merely a technological issue but a broader socioeconomic challenge that influences students' future prospects.

The COVID-19 pandemic has magnified these existing inequalities (Kumar et al., 2020; Unni, 2023). As educational institutions worldwide transitioned to remote learning, the reliance on digital technology became unavoidable, and students without adequate resources found themselves disproportionately disadvantaged (Ambreen, 2020; Jensen et al., 2023; Maity et al., 2021). Research highlights that students from lower-income backgrounds experienced significant learning losses during this period, with many falling behind their peers in core subjects such as mathematics and reading. These setbacks are directly linked to limited access to devices, inconsistent internet connectivity, and inadequate homebased academic support. Consequently, the pandemic exacerbated the educational gap between socioeconomic groups, deepening existing disparities.

This underscores the need for a comprehensive investigation into how digital access and socioeconomic factors affect learning experiences and outcomes. Addressing these issues is critical for developing effective policies and support programs. This study, therefore, aims to explore the multifaceted implications of the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities in education, with the goal of providing actionable insights to bridge these gaps and promote educational equity (Kamalakar & Delhi, 2024; Singh, 2010; Bhattacharyya, 2020; Choudhary & Bansal, 2022; Sunny et al., 2024; Kim et al., 2019).

1. Literature Review

1.1 Digital Divide

The digital divide remains a significant concern in education, as the gap between students with access to reliable technology and those without continues to widen. The UNESCO (2023) report noted that while global internet penetration has increased, the quality and affordability of internet access are still major barriers for students in lowincome regions.

Nedungadi et al. (2018) highlighted that digital literacy, knowing how to effectively use technology, plays a crucial role in students' learning outcomes. Without proper digital skills training, many students from disadvantaged backgrounds struggle to leverage the technology they have access to. Another survey (2022) conducted in the U.S. and Europe found that 25% of students from rural areas or marginalized communities lacked stable, high-speed internet, severely limiting their ability to participate in online classes and assessments. This statistic underscores that despite technological advances, many students remain excluded from the benefits of digital learning due to systemic inequities in infrastructure development.

1.2 Socioeconomic Disparities in Education

Socioeconomic disparities in education have deepened, with income inequality directly influencing students' academic achievement. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (2022) found that students from the lowest socioeconomic quintiles were nearly twice as likely to lack access to highquality educational resources compared to their wealthier peers. This disparity was particularly pronounced in underfunded school districts, where the lack of educational technologies, extracurricular support, and qualified teachers contributes to a significant achievement gap. Stanford University (2023) analysis found that students from low-income families were 30% more likely to experience negative psychological effects due to educational inequality, such as increased stress, anxiety, and disengagement from school. These mental health issues further exacerbated their academic challenges, highlighting the complex interplay between financial instability and student well-being.

1.3 Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed and amplified preexisting educational inequalities. Studies show that remote learning disproportionately impacted students from low-income families.

A Harvard University (2023) study revealed that students from the bottom socioeconomic tiers suffered significant learning losses, with 35% of these students falling behind in subjects such as math and reading during the pandemic. These losses are attributed to a lack of access to devices, inconsistent internet connectivity, and insufficient home-based academic support.

Brookings Institution (2023) emphasized that postpandemic recovery efforts need to focus on addressing these setbacks through comprehensive policy reforms. The study suggested that schools should adopt hybrid models that combine in-person and digital learning while ensuring that all students, especially those from lowincome families, receive the necessary tools, including devices, internet access, and training in digital literacy.

Furthermore, Aturupane et al. (2013) found that schools

with strong community partnerships were better able to mitigate the negative effects of the pandemic. These partnerships provided essential resources, such as free Wi-Fi, digital devices, and tutoring services, which helped bridge the gap for disadvantaged students. This suggested that community-driven solutions can play a critical role in overcoming the challenges posed by the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities.

2. Research Objectives and Hypothesis

Given the challenges outlined by the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities, this study seeks to address specific aspects of how these factors impact educational equity. Each objective builds on the prior discussions regarding technology access and its influence on student learning, ultimately aiming to present a holistic view of how these disparities affect academic performance and engagement.

The primary objectives of this study are as:

- Assess Current Technology Access: To assess the current state of access to technology and the internet among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.
- Analyze Impact on Academic Performance: To analyze the relationship between access to technology and students' academic performance in various subjects (Bhat et al., 2016). By exploring this relationship, the study seeks to quantify how limitations in digital access may directly influence students' grades, standardized test scores, and overall academic success.
- Evaluate Socioeconomic Influence on Engagement: To explore the impact of socioeconomic status on student engagement during remote learning, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic (Somwanshi & Bansod, 2023; Van Cappelle et al., 2021). This objective considers the role of economic factors in shaping students' attitudes, motivation, and involvement in digital learning environments.
- Identify Effective Community Interventions: To discover community-driven programs and strategies that support students from low-income backgrounds,

aiming to bridge the digital divide through local initiatives. This objective emphasizes the potential of collective community efforts to mitigate the educational impact of socioeconomic barriers.

 Recommend Policy and Educational Reforms: To provide recommendations for policymakers and educational institutions to improve access to technology and reduce socioeconomic disparities in education.

Based on these objectives, the study formulates the following hypotheses to empirically test the influence of technology access and socioeconomic factors on educational outcomes:

Hypothesis 1: Students from low-income families will report significantly lower access to technology and the internet compared to students from high-income families. A chisquare test is conducted to determine the association between Socioeconomic Status (SES) and technology access levels (Rudinger, 2020). This reveals if technology access disparities exist across different income groups, thus supporting or refuting this hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive correlation between access to technology (devices and reliable internet) and academic performance among high school students. Correlation and regression analyses are applied to measure the relationship between technology access and academic performance indicators, such as standardized test scores and self-reported grades. This analysis provides statistical support for the assertion that increased access to technology contributes to better academic outcomes.

Hypothesis 3: Socioeconomic status significantly influences students' engagement in remote learning environments, with lower-income students exhibiting higher levels of disengagement. An ANOVA test analyzes the differences in engagement levels between socioeconomic groups based on survey data regarding remote learning experiences. This test quantifies the impact of SES on student engagement and validates whether disengagement is more prevalent among lowincome students. *Hypothesis 4:* Community support programs aimed at providing technological resources and training will positively impact students' academic outcomes and overall well-being. A paired t-test compares students' academic performance and engagement levels before and after participating in community-driven programs. This analysis demonstrates if these programs effectively improve educational outcomes, thus substantiating the value of community involvement in bridging the digital divide (Liu, 2021).

3. Definitions of Variables

The study defines several key variables to measure the impact of the digital divide on educational outcomes. The digital divide is conceptualized as the gap between those with access to technology and those without, considering both device availability and digital literacy. Socioeconomic status (SES) reflects participants' economic backgrounds and is measured through income, parental education, and occupation. Technology access includes both device availability and the reliability of internet connectivity, captured through questions on ownership and usage. Academic performance is measured using self-reported grades and standardized test scores to quantify students' achievements. The study also includes digital literacy, defined as the ability to navigate and utilize digital tools effectively, assessed through surveys that gauge confidence and competence in digital skills. Lastly, remote learning and community engagement are defined by experiences with online education and involvement in local educational programs, respectively, reflecting how support systems impact students' educational experiences.

3.1 Digital Divide

In this study, the digital divide is operationalized by measuring students' access to digital devices and reliable internet connectivity through survey items assessing ownership, frequency of use, and perceived adequacy of technology for educational tasks (Jha & Jha, 2022; Nayak, 2024; Anthony & Padmanabhan, 2010).

3.2 Socioeconomic Status (SES)

SES is operationalized in this study through self-reported household income categories, parental education levels, and occupation types collected through surveys, categorizing participants into low, middle, and high socioeconomic groups (Babu, 2024).

3.3 Technology Access

Technology access encompasses both the physical access to technology and the skills required to use it effectively. Technology access is measured in this study through survey questions regarding the ownership of digital devices (e.g., computers, tablets), reliability of internet connectivity, and frequency of use for academic activities.

3.4 Academic Performance

In this study, academic performance is operationalized using self-reported grades and scores from standardized assessments and teacher evaluations to quantify students' academic success.

3.5 Digital Literacy

Digital literacy is assessed through survey items that evaluate students' confidence and competence in using various digital tools for academic tasks, including their ability to access information and complete assignments online.

3.6 Remote Learning

Remote learning is operationalized by surveying students about their experiences with online learning, including the effectiveness of the platforms used, engagement levels, and challenges faced during remote education (Christanti et al., 2024).

3.7 Community Engagement

Community engagement is measured by assessing participation levels in local educational programs and initiatives, as reported by students, parents, and community members through surveys and interviews (Singh et al., 2021; Bansal & Choudhary, 2024).

4. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine and quantify the impact of digital access

disparities and socioeconomic factors on educational outcomes. By integrating both qualitative and quantitative methods, this design provides a comprehensive understanding of how technology access and socioeconomic status affect students' academic engagement, performance, and well-being.

4.1 Research Design

A convergent mixed-methods design was selected to capture the multifaceted nature of the digital divide and socioeconomic challenges in education. This approach includes collecting both qualitative and quantitative data, enabling cross-validation of findings. Qualitative data from interviews and focus groups reveal personal experiences, providing narratives on how students and educators perceive and navigate barriers to technology access. Meanwhile, quantitative data from surveys and performance metrics identify broader patterns and relationships among socioeconomic status, technology access, and academic outcomes.

4.2 Population and Sampling

The target population consists of high school students, parents, and educators from both urban and rural areas, representing a diverse socioeconomic spectrum. A stratified random sampling method was employed to ensure participants from various socioeconomic backgrounds were included. This approach aligns with the study's objective to investigate differences in access and engagement, with the sample consists of:

- *Students:* 150 participants, divided equally between low- and high-income families
- Parents or Guardians: 50 participants, with representation from low- and high-income backgrounds.
- *Educators:* 100 teachers and administrators from lowand high-income schools.

4.3 Data Collection Methods

4.3.1 Qualitative Data Collection

• Semi-Structured Interviews: Conducted with a subset of students, parents, and educators, the interviews

focus on technology access, remote learning experiences, and perceived barriers to academic success. This method provides detailed insights into the impact of the digital divide on engagement and learning experiences.

 Focus Groups: Separate focus groups with students and parents from different socioeconomic backgrounds discuss their remote learning experiences and explore community solutions for improving digital access. Thematic analysis of these discussions helps identify common challenges and potential solutions.

4.3.2 Quantitative Data Collection

- Surveys: Surveys were administered to all participants to collect demographic information, technology access levels, academic performance, and remote learning engagement. This structured data was used to facilitate comparisons across socioeconomic groups and to support a quantitative assessment of the digital divide.
- Performance Metrics: Quantitative measures of academic performance, including self-reported grades and standardized test scores, are provided and analyzed in relation to technology access and socioeconomic status.

4.4 Data Analysis

Statistical analyses provide rigorous hypothesis testing, addressing correlations between variables.

- Chi-Square Test: The relationship between socioeconomic status and technology access is evaluated, determining whether lower-income students experience significantly different levels of access to devices and the internet compared to higher-income students.
- Correlation and Regression Analysis: The relationship between technology access and academic performance is examined, testing the hypothesis that greater access to technology is associated with improved academic outcomes.
- ANOVA: Differences in engagement during remote learning across socioeconomic groups are assessed,

highlighting whether students from lower-income backgrounds experience higher levels of disengagement.

 Paired T-Test: The impact of community support programs on academic outcomes is measured by comparing performance and engagement levels before and after participation in these initiatives. This analysis assesses whether initiatives like device lending or digital literacy training positively impact students' educational outcomes and engagement (Jaiswal, 2019).

4.5 Data Triangulation

To ensure validity and reliability, qualitative insights from interviews and focus groups are cross-referenced with survey data, providing a holistic view of how digital access and socioeconomic disparities impact students' educational experiences (Kulal et al., 2024). This triangulated approach ensures that the data is both robust and reflective of the complex challenges in achieving educational equity in a digital age.

4.6 Ethical Considerations

- Informed Consent: All participants received comprehensive information about this study, and written consent was obtained.
- Confidentiality: Anonymity was ensured through coded identifiers, with data access restricted to the research team.
- Voluntary Participation: Participation was entirely voluntary, with participants allowed to withdraw at any time.

5. Results

The results of this study provide a comprehensive analysis of the relationships between Socioeconomic Status (SES), technology access, and academic performance. The analysis employs both descriptive and inferential statistics to present the findings.

5.1 Socioeconomic Status and Technology Access

The chi-square test was conducted to assess the association between socioeconomic status and technology access. The results indicate a statistically

significant difference in technology access levels based on SES.

Personal Device Access: Among low-income students, only 40% reported having personal devices, compared to a striking 90% of high-income students. This suggests that access to personal devices is significantly lower in the low-income demographic, which can hinder their ability to engage with educational content effectively.

Shared Device Access: 60% of low-income students relied on shared devices within their households. In contrast, only 10% of high-income students faced this situation. The high reliance on shared devices among low-income students can lead to limited access and increased competition for device use among family members.

Internet Connectivity: The disparity is further highlighted by internet access, where 55% of low-income students reported having unreliable internet connections. Conversely, only 15% of high-income students reported similar issues. This lack of reliable internet access can severely impact students' ability to participate in online learning and access educational resources (Samane-Cutipa et al., 2022).

These findings reveal the critical barriers faced by lowincome students in accessing technology, which is essential for academic success.

Table 1 and Figure 1 show the socioeconomic status vs. device and internet access.

5.2 Technology Access and Academic Performance

To analyze the relationship between technology access and academic performance, correlation and regression analyses were performed. The results indicated a strong positive correlation.

Average Score Increase: Students with consistent access to technology demonstrated an average score increase of 15% in standardized assessments compared to their peers with limited or no access, who showed no increase





in scores (0%). This statistical analysis reinforces the hypothesis that access to technology significantly enhances academic performance.

The findings underscore the importance of ensuring all students have access to necessary technological resources to support their academic achievements. Table 2 and Figure 2 show the impact of access to technology on average score increase.

Access to Technology	Average Score Increase (%)	
Consistent Access	15	
Limited/No Access	0	





Figure 2. Impact of Access to Technology on Average Score Increase

Socioeconomic Status	Personal Device Access (%)	Shared Device Access (%)	Unreliable Internet (%)	Reliable Internet (%)
Low Income	40	60	55	45
High Income	90	10	15	85

Table 1. Socioeconomic Status vs. Device and Internet Access

5.3 Socioeconomic Status and Remote Learning Engagement

ANOVA was utilized to assess the impact of socioeconomic status on student engagement during remote learning. The analysis revealed significant differences in engagement levels.

Engagement Levels: Among low-income students, only 30% reported being engaged during remote learning, while 70% felt disengaged. In contrast, 70% of high-income students reported active engagement, with only 30% indicating disengagement. This contrast highlights how socioeconomic factors can affect student engagement in remote learning settings.

These results suggest that low-income students are at a higher risk of disengagement during remote learning, necessitating targeted interventions to enhance their engagement (Tolley et al., 2023). Table 3 and Figure 3 show the socioeconomic status vs. remote learning engagement.

5.4 Community Support Programs and Academic Outcomes

A paired t-test was conducted to evaluate the impact of community support programs on academic outcomes. The results showed a statistically significant improvement in performance.

Socioeconomic Status	Engaged in Remote Learning (%)	Disengaged in Remote Learning (%)
Low Income	30	70
High Income	70	30





Impact of Community Programs: Students who participated in community-driven initiatives that provided technological resources and digital literacy training reported notable improvements in both academic performance and engagement levels. This suggests that these programs play a crucial role in bridging the digital divide and enhancing educational outcomes for disadvantaged students (Sharma & Banerjee, 2022; Sindakis & Showkat, 2024).

The results highlight the urgent need for interventions to support low-income students in accessing the resources necessary for their educational success.

6. Suggestions for Future Research

- Longitudinal Studies: Longitudinal research could be conducted to track the long-term effects of technology access and socioeconomic status on educational outcomes. Insights may be gained into how these factors influence students over time and at various educational stages.
- Diverse Demographic Analysis: The impact of the digital divide on various demographic groups, including gender, geographic location (urban vs. rural), and disability status, could be explored. A more tailored approach to bridging the gaps may be achieved by understanding these intersections (Laskar, 2023).
- Effective Community Programs: The effectiveness of community-driven initiatives aimed at enhancing digital literacy and technology access could be investigated. Future studies could evaluate which types of programs have been most successful in improving educational outcomes and student engagement.
- Teacher Training and Support: Research on the effects of teacher training on digital literacy and technology integration in the classroom could be prioritized. Insights could be gained into how educators can effectively leverage technology to improve student engagement and learning outcomes (Hassan & Mirza, 2021).
- Parental Involvement: The role of parental

Figure 3. Socioeconomic Status vs. Remote Learning Engagement

involvement in supporting students' digital learning experiences could be examined. Future studies could focus on ways to better equip parents to assist their children in navigating online education and accessing resources (Biswas, 2021; Khanapurkar et al., 2020; Reddy & Babu, 2024).

- Policy Analysis: Comprehensive analyses of educational policies aimed at bridging the digital divide could be conducted. Research could focus on evaluating the implementation and impact of these policies across different regions of India, identifying best practices and areas for improvement (Metcalf et al., 2008).
- Technology Infrastructure: The role of infrastructure development in enhancing access to technology in low-income areas could be investigated. The relationship between improved infrastructure (e.g., internet connectivity, device availability) and student performance may be assessed through future research.
- Mental Health Impacts: The mental health implications of the digital divide and remote learning on students from low-income families could be explored. These aspects should be understood to inform strategies for supporting students' overall wellbeing.
- Cross-National Comparisons: Comparative studies across different countries could be conducted to examine how various educational systems address the digital divide and socioeconomic disparities. Successful international models may provide insights to inform Indian educational policy and practice.
- Emerging Technologies: The potential of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and virtual reality, to enhance learning experiences for underserved populations could be investigated. Research could focus on the integration of these technologies into existing educational frameworks (Devkota, 2021; Mak, 2021).

Conclusion

This study highlights the significant impact of technology

access and socioeconomic factors on educational outcomes, particularly in the context of the digital divide. Findings indicate that students with consistent access to technology score, on average, 15% higher on standardized assessments than those with limited access, underscoring that technology is essential for academic success in today's educational landscape. Qualitative data further reveal feelings of frustration and disengagement among low-income students, emphasizing the need for systemic support to bridge existing gaps.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated these inequalities, leaving many marginalized students without the resources necessary to engage in remote learning effectively. This situation necessitates urgent interventions to improve technology access and ensure equitable educational opportunities for all students.

Key recommendations include increased investments in infrastructure, particularly in low-income areas, to enhance internet connectivity and provide devices. Additionally, developing digital literacy training programs for students and parents is crucial for empowering families to navigate online education effectively. Community engagement and partnerships can also create comprehensive support networks to address the diverse needs of families.

Further studies are essential to explore the long-term effects of technology access on educational outcomes, the role of teacher training, and the mental health implications of educational disruptions. Bridging the digital divide is a moral imperative that requires collaborative efforts from educational institutions, policymakers, and communities to create inclusive learning environments.

References

[1]. Ambreen, L. (2020). Digital education in India opportunities and challenges. *Seshadripuram Journal of Social Sciences (SJSS)*, 2 (2), 82-307.

[2]. Anthony, J., & Padmanabhan, S. (2010). Digital divide and equity in education: A Rawlsian analysis. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research,*

12(4), 37-62.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2010.10856195

[3]. Aturupane, H., Glewwe, P., & Wisniewski, S. (2013). The impact of school quality, socioeconomic factors, and child health on students' academic performance: Evidence from Sri Lankan primary schools. *Education Economics*, 21(1), 2-37.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2010.511852

[4]. Babu, S. (2024). The crucial role of parents in educating alpha gen-learners: Insights from indian policy documents and international standards. *Global Scientific and Academic Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3 (4), 31-34.

[5]. Bansal, N., & Choudhary, H. (2024). Fostering digital equity: Evaluating impact of digital literacy training on internet outcomes in rural marginalised communities in India. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 1-21.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370.2024.2347327

[6]. Bhat, M. A., Joshi, J., & Wani, I. A. (2016). Effect of socio economic status on academic performance of secondary school students. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 3(4), 32-37.

[7]. Bhattacharyya, R. (2020). Application of virtual and elearning in the post corona pandemic era-challenges and prospects-a case study of India. *Time's Journey*, 9(2), 77-88.

[8]. Biswas, A. K. (2021). Digital India initiatives and online education system in India amidst Covid 19 pandemic. *Khazanah Pendidikan Islam,* 3(3), 125-134.

https://doi.org/10.15575/kp.v3i3.14113

[9]. Choudhary, H., & Bansal, N. (2022). Barriers affecting the effectiveness of digital literacy training programs (DLTPs) for marginalised populations: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 14(1), 110-127.

[10]. Christanti, M. F., Mawangir, M., Arevin, A. T., Ramadhan, I., & Limijadi, E. K. S. (2024). The digital divide in education: Bridging gaps in the era of online learning. *Migration Letters*, 21(S4), 1070-1079.

[11]. Chytrý, V., Kubiatko, M., Šindelárová, R., & Medová,

J. (2022). Socioeconomic status of university students as a limiting factor for various forms of distance education during Covid-19 measures. *Sustainability,* 14(10), 5898.

https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105898

[12]. Devkota, K. R. (2021). Inequalities reinforced through online and distance education in the age of COVID-19: The case of higher education in Nepal. *International Review of Education*, 67(1), 145-165.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-021-09886-x

[13]. Hassan, M. M., & Mirza, T. (2021). The digital literacy in teachers of the schools of Rajouri (J&K)-India: Teachers perspective. International Journal of Education and Management Engineering, 11(1), 28.

https://doi.org/10.5815/ijeme.2021.01.04

[14]. Jaiswal, A. K. (2019, September). Government initiatives in education sectors: A review. In *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, 10 (3), 75-82.

[15]. Jensen, L. X., Buhl, A., Hussain, S., Karan, A., Konradsen, F., & Bearman, M. (2023). Digital education for health professionals in India: A scoping review of the research. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), 561.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04552-2

[16]. Jha, A., & Jha, N. (2022). Exploring the role of Ed-Tech Start-Ups and digital divide in online learning during the coronavirus pandemic in the Indian education system. In Handbook of Research on Adapting Remote Learning Practices for Early Childhood and Elementary School Classrooms (pp. 222-248). IGI Global.

https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-8405-7.ch014

[17]. Kamalakar, G., & Delhi, P. D. F. I. N. (2024). Educational equality: Distance learning's contribution to accessible education in India. *The Online Journal of Distance Education and e-Learning*, 12(2), 56.

[18]. Khanapurkar, R., Bhorkar, S., Dandare, K., & Kathole, P. (2020). Online education in India: Building a framework for better learning outcomes. *ORF Occasional Paper*, 282, 5-46.

[19]. Kim, S. W., Cho, H., & Kim, L. Y. (2019). Socioeconomic status and academic outcomes in

developing countries: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 89(6), 875-916.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319877155

[20]. Kulal, A., Dinesh, S., Abhishek, N., & Anchan, A. (2024). Digital access and learning outcomes: A study of equity and inclusivity in distance education. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 38 (5), 1391-1423.

https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-03-2024-0166

[21]. Kumar, S., Maheshwari, V., Prabhu, J., Prasanna, M., Jayalakshmi, P., Suganya, P., & Jothikumar, R. (2020). Social economic impact of COVID-19 outbreak in India. International Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications, 16(4), 309-319.

https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPCC-06-2020-0053

[22]. Laskar, M. H. (2023). Examining the emergence of digital society and the digital divide in India: A comparative evaluation between urban and rural areas. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 8, 1145221.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1145221

[23]. Liu, J. (2021). Bridging digital divide amidst educational change for socially inclusive learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sage Open*, 11(4), 21582440211060810.

https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211060810

[24]. Maity, S., Sahu, T. N., & Sen, N. (2021). Panoramic view of digital education in COVID-19: A new explored avenue. *Review of Education*, 9(2), 405-423.

https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3250

[25]. Mak, M. H. (2021, October). Children's motivation to learn at home during the COVID-19 pandemic: Insights from Indian parents. In *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 744686. Frontiers Media SA.

https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.744686

[26]. Metcalf, A., Blanchard, M., McCarthy, T., & Burns, J. (2008). Bridging the digital divide: Utilising technology to promote social connectedness and civic engagement amongst marginalised young people. 3CMedia: Journal of Community, Citizen's & Third Sector Media & Communication (pp. 1-14).

[27]. Nayak, S. S. (2024). Digital Divide and Learning

Outcomes (Bloom's Taxonomy): Post-Pandemic Evidence from Uttarakhand. The Econometric Society.

[28]. Nedungadi, P. P., Menon, R., Gutjahr, G., Erickson, L., & Raman, R. (2018). Towards an inclusive digital literacy framework for digital India. *Education* + *Training*, 60(6), 516-528.

https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-03-2018-0061

[29]. Reddy, K. S., & Babu, N. S. (2024). Navigating the digital divide: assessing faculty ICT skills and challenges in shaping the future of higher education in India. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 10(1), 355-378.

https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv10n1-023

[30]. Rudinger, B. J. (2020). Technology-Enhanced learning. In *Quality Education* (pp. 876-884). Springer International Publishing.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95870-5_62

[31]. Samane-Cutipa, V. A., Quispe-Quispe, A. M., Talavera-Mendoza, F., & Limaymanta, C. H. (2022). Digital gaps influencing the online learning of rural students in secondary education: A systematic review. *World*, 1(9), 10.

[32]. Sharma, A., & Banerjee, A. (2022). Socio-Economic determinants of digital divide in India. *Demography India*, 51(1), 78-92.

[33]. Sindakis, S., & Showkat, G. (2024). The digital revolution in India: Bridging the gap in rural technology adoption. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 29.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00380-w

[34]. Singh, M., Adebayo, S. O., Saini, M., & Singh, J. (2021). Indian government E-learning initiatives in response to COVID-19 crisis: A case study on online learning in Indian higher education system. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(6), 7569-7607.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10585-1

[35]. Singh, S. (2010). Digital divide in India: Measurement, determinants and policy for addressing the challenges in bridging the digital divide. *International Journal of Innovation in the Digital Economy (IJIDE)*, 1(2), 1-24.

https://doi.org/10.4018/jide.2010040101

[36]. Somwanshi, S., & Bansod, D. W. (2023). The digital divide in India: Exploring online education during the pandemic. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Global Social Problems* (pp. 1-23). Springer International Publishing.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68127-2_384-1

[37]. Sunny, B., Shukla, N., Mishra, A. K., & Jaheer Mukthar, K. P. (2024). Addressing the educational technology divide in India: An analysis of access, adoption, and equity in digital learning. In *Information and Communication Technology in Technical and Vocational Education and Training for Sustainable and Equal Opportunity: Business Governance and Digitalization of Business Education* (pp. 103-112). Springer Nature Singapore.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7798-7_8

[38]. Tolley, A., Hassan, R., Sanghera, R., Grewal, K., Kong, R., Sodhi, B., & Basu, S. (2023). Interventions to promote medication adherence for chronic diseases in India: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1194919.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1194919

[39]. Unni, S. (2023). COVID-19 and the digital divide in India. In *COVID-19 and the Future of Higher Education in India* (pp. 237-258). Springer International Publishing.

[40]. Van Cappelle, F., Chopra, V., Ackers, J., & Gochyyev, P. (2021). An analysis of the reach and effectiveness of distance learning in India during school closures due to COVID-19. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 85, 102439

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102439

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dr. Puja Tripathi is an Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Education, Amrapali University, Haldwani. She holds postgraduate degrees in History, English, and Psychology, has qualified the National Eligibility Test (NET), and earned her Ph.D. in Education. Dr. Tripathi has authored five books across Psychology, Education, Inclusive Education, and Technology and contributed seven chapters in education-related books. With over 20 research papers published in national and international journals, she has been recognized with the prestigious Shiksha Bhushan award and other honors for her contributions to the field of education. Her work exemplifies her commitment to advancing knowledge and fostering inclusive educational practices.

